Approved For Release 2000/08/08: CIA-RDP96-00789R001900300001-8

IES Control Number: "OTHER A"

SOURCE 101

Date IES Completed: 1 Oct 86

PROJECT SUN STREAK (U)

Intelligence Evaluation Sheet

ECTION I				
Does the information satisfy your Intelligence Collection Requirement?	YES	IN PART	NO	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
	\boxtimes			

SECTION II

This section deals exclusively with the accuracy of the information provided in this report only. Disregard any previous data or IES forms you may have already received when completing this section of this form. Responses are scaled from 0-4 utilizing the following explanatory criteria:

- 0 Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.
- 1 Eyidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.
- 2 Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevnt information is reported.
- 3 Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.
- 4 Data correctly fulfills tasking in a manner which positively enhances current holdings and operational interest in this site by reporting on heretofore unknown data.

Approved For Release 2000/08/08 : CIA-RDP96-00789R001900300001-8								
1	I	ν< ο ν Ω ι	1	i				
Specific t functions, nuclear te storage/re missile te	General target functions, i.e research, prod training, stor troop billets,	Small scal structures antennae, vehicles, small buil	Large scale objects, i railyards, docks, etc	Geographic l description, terrain, bod water, mount				
target is, i.e., testing, research, testing,	target ns, i.e. n, produ g, stora	le s, cc mi	e. e., sil	hic locale tion, i.e., bodies of mountains, e				
CBR ch, etc.	arget , i.e., production, storage, lets, etc.	manmade i.e., imputers, ssiles, ngs, etc.	manmade ., cities, ilos,	le e., of s, etc.				
0	0	0	0	0				
<u> </u>	1·	<u></u> ⊢	L 1	<u> </u>				
2	~ N	2	2	~				
ω	ω	ω	ω	ω				
4	4	4	4	4				
UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN				
CANNOT BE DETERMINEL	CANNOT BE DETERMINEL	CANNOT BE DETERMINED	CANNOT BE DETERMINED	CANNOT BE DETERMINED				
LINE	LINE	LINE	II NEC	I NEC				

	5			7		
Approved For R	elease 20	000/08/08 :	CIA-RDP	96-00	0789R001 <u>9</u> 003	300001-8
eet Por Por S	=	<	teas eas	<u> </u>	ے	- 1 . 1
Reas information previously provided Deen labelled erroneous, irrelevent duportance? If so, please explain enformation that was previously disconnect of paper for your comments. App	<	ERY USEFUL	e check which b is target, (und date).	11	Data of prediction importance, in plans, preparametrile intent	Personalities a site, i.e., des tions, activiti responsibilitie political proclesupport for gov
iously provi ous, irrelev please expla previously our comments			ch best describes (understanding th		tive e., tions	s at des- ities, ties, oclivity, government.
y provided to you irrelevent or who e explain by noti iously discounted omments.		USEFUL.	ibes the u		0	0
se ng as		MA	reliability of Ubsequent infor			
s office vence cou IES contr le reasons		MARGINAL VALUE	the matic		2	2
rtaining not be d number (or curren			information pr on could raise		ω	ω
this target ermined, been any) and the reevaluation.		NO VALUE	provided, based e or lower this		4.	4
et which may haven found to haven found to have he specific nather. Please use					NMONNIN	UNKNOWN
to this target which may have initially etermined, been found to have subsequent if any) and the specific nature of the treevaluation. Please use a separate	DETERMINED	CANNOT RE	on the knowr data perta interim assessment at a		CANNOT BE DETERMINED	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
ly end e			t a			

Als: information previously provided to you by this office pertaining to this target which may have initially been one of value. (or better), been subsequently found to be of a lesser rated reliability or erroneous in nature?

One of value (or better), been subsequently found to be of a lesser rated reliability or erroneous in nature?

One of value (or better), been subsequently found to be of a lesser rated reliability or erroneous in nature?

One of value (or better), been subsequently found to be of a lesser rated reliability or erroneous in nature?

One of value (or better), been subsequently found to be of a lesser rated reliability or erroneous in nature?

One of value (or better), been subsequently for the specific nature of the information that was previously rated and the resons for the current reassessment. Please use separate sheet of paper for your comments.

One of the previously in any format you deem adequate to convey your the specific nature of this project which could be the previously in any format you deem adequate to convey your or paper of the pa